From: [redacted]
To: Ministerial Correspondence
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk
Subject: Objection to an urgent Crown Development Order for MOD Bicester Site A
Date: 21 July 2026 21:59:23

[You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a local resident to oppose the use of the urgent planning process for the proposal to accommodate up to 1,250 single adult male asylum seekers at Site A, MOD Bicester. This proposal has not been thought out fairly to both local and nearby residents nor the all male asylum seekers.

How can anyone think that this is a sensible idea. Nearby villages will be swamped with these men looking for something to do.

Very concerning that boredom will inevitably set in with the men dumped in one place with no work or purpose.

On 9 July, my MP, Calum Miller, was informed by a Defence Minister that the Home Office first approached the MOD about the use of this site in November 2025.

This is not the first time A sites has been considered for an asylum centre. The first in 2003 was turned down eventually for not being suitable what has changed to the reasoning behind that decision.

Also that the numbers have doubled and the men are free to roam which is all they will be able to do. Although it does seem unfair to lock people in the unknown is a real concern. It might be okay it might not. If anything does happen who is to be held accountable for letting things happen due to lack of thought.

You will be aware that the use of the site for such a huge asylum camp is very controversial – and widely opposed – locally. It is very important that local people – and our local representatives in the councils, health board, police and other services – are given sufficient information and time to make an intelligent response.

It is clearly unreasonable for this to be considered “urgent”. The Government cannot consider it for nine months and then give local residents a matter of days to contribute to a consultation.

Can I therefore urge you to withdraw consent for this to be treated as an urgent application and instead insist that the usual planning process is followed, so local voices can be heard about a proposal that will affect us far more than any Secretary of State in London?

Yours sincerely,

[redacted]

Sent from my iPhone